

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,014	11/19/2003	John E. Hards	J-HRDS.1001 6732	
7590 09/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert D. Vari	itz		CHOI, ST	TEPHEN
ROBERT D. VARITZ, P.C. 2007 S.E. Grant Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR 97214			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astice Comment	10/717,014	HARDS, JOHN E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Choi	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
AM-1-1						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/19/03</u> . 6) ☐ Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/717,014

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: underlining are commonly used to indicate amendments or changes in the claims as provided in 37 CFR 1.121 and are normally not intended to be printed in the published patent. If underlining is intended to appear in the claims in the published patent, such intention must be clearly indicated in applicant's reply to this office action.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Figure 4 does not appear to show A_i and/or A_o correctly. Furthermore, Figure 4 does not appear to show an end view of an anvil roll correctly. When the sleeve is mounted on the armature, the inner portion of the sleeve will deform and S_i cannot be as shown. Diameter of portions of inside surface of the sleeve will be substantially equal to A_o and other portions will extend through perforations, which will be substantially equal to A_i but not S_i. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief

Application/Control Number: 10/717,014

Art Unit: 3724

description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clear what structure is set forth by "possessing inside and outside diameters which are, respectively, less than and greater than those of said armature". When the sleeve is mounted on the armature, the inner portion of the sleeve will deform and diameter of portions of inside surface of the sleeve will be substantially equal to A_o and other portions will extend through perforations, which will be substantially equal to A_i but not S_i .

In claims 3 and 6, it is not clear what structure is set forth by "said sleeve includes material extending...". Is this referring to material of the sleeve?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/717,014

Art Unit: 3724

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1-6, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schuster (US 2,257,025).

Schuster discloses all the recited elements of the invention including an elongate, tubular, cylindrical armature (1) and an elongate, tubular, cylindrical resiliency sleeve (4) wherein inside and outside diameters of the sleeve are less than and greater than those of the armature, respectively. Regarding claim 2, see Figure 1. Regarding claims 3 and 6, page 2, left column, lines 15-17. Regarding claims 4-5, see Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuster. Schuster discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the armature being formed of expanded perforate metal. However, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the armature out of expanded perforate material because applicant has not disclosed the expanded perforate material provides an advantage, is used for particular purpose, or solves a

Application/Control Number: 10/717,014

Art Unit: 3724

stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Schuster's perforated metal cylinder and applicant's invention, to perform equally well with either material since both material would perform the same function. See also page 9, lines 9-13 of applicant's specification. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sawyer, Dames, Jr., Greider, and Delhaes are cited to show related devices.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/717,014

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sc

22 September 2005

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER